







This notatial any be subject to the entire in the property of Section 100 of the property of this release to the Freedum of information for

Pre-Merger Notification to U.S. Antitrust Authorities

tr (Sales)

You have requested our opinion as to whether notification to the leval Trade Commission and the U.S. Department of Justica is required in mection with the proposed takeover by

On this basis, pessets and sales in the United States appear be well below the threshold levels of \$15 Million in assets or \$25 Million sales before pre-merger notification is required. The regulations provide \$802.51 that an acquisition by a foreign person is exempt if the acquired son has no more than \$15 Million in U.S. assets and less than \$25 Million sales. Accordingly, it is our opinion that no pre-merger filing is sired to be filed with the United States antitrust authorities. We have, atheless, furnished copies of the letter to both agencies together with supporting documentation.

Very truly yours,









Premerger Notification Office Bureau of Competition, Room 303 Federal Trade Commission 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

Director of Operations,
Antitrust Division
Department of Justice, Room 3218 of the Control of Indian Lot
Washington, D.C. 20530

This Enterial Fow be subject to
the control of the Control of Indian the
This Enterial Fow be subject to
the Control of Indian Lot
The Control of Ind

Re: Tender Offer for Pre-Merger Notification to U.S. Antitrust Authorities

Dear Sir or Ms.:

In our opinion, no pre-merger notification is required in regard to the above referenced foreign takeover, for the reasons stated in our opinion letter, a copy of which is attached. We are also enclosing the underlying documentation referenced in that letter. We will contact you by telephone to confirm that no filing is required.

Respectfully submitted,



Enclosures

and informed his secretary
(he was out) that I concur with
him that this transaction is not
reportable. Patrick

Feb. 1991 If it was acquired at the end of Then the £4,762,000 represents 4 mos. If this is the case then the 4,762,000 should be tripled to 14,286,000), not doubled.

X1.39 (40.5)
28 574 \$19,757.54 (estimated in es into 0.5.) This is still below the \$25 mm threshold of 802.51(b)(2). I agree this is exempt. All of this is irrelevant sinc we go by actual sales which are significate less than \$25 mm and thus not reportable